

Page Number	Comment	Reviewer	Response
66	<p>"Finding 2: Public engagement is key to increasing BMP integration into other public and environmental objectives, which will increase the likelihood of robust, multiple-benefit, and cost-effective projects. Consistent and effective messaging is also critical (PAG comment) and it requires specialized expertise and broad coordination (CASQA 2017)."</p> <p>Comment: Comments on "messaging" and the use of the term "capture" versus other less violent and negative terms, such as "collect" or "gather" are separately provided.</p>	Regional Boards 6,3	Comment noted.
66	Finding 5 - I really disagree with this. The US has by far the highest per capita water consumption. Technology can reduce that consumption by half or much more. Landscaping should be consistent with the local rainfall etc. Continuing to bend the will of water supply is not the best answer, we need to address the supply side - and I think that is coming in a big way over the next few decades. Lets plan for that.	Taylor	Language modified.
66	Finding 6 - reuse is expensive now, but the current system is highly subsidized - not really cheaper, just different cost accounting	Taylor	This comment is relevant to one water approaches, however reuse is not a focus of this report.
66	Avoid overgeneralization when describing rate-setting investment strategies. We ask that Finding 6. Which suggests "improved rate-setting procedures", be revised to acknowledge this may not be feasible given the constraints of Proposition 218. CUWA also suggests one better approach would be a framework that enables trade-off between the cost of MS4 regulatory compliance and development of stormwater capture and use opportunities.	CUWA	Language modified.
66	Finding 3 - stormwater runoff arguably constitutes all urban supply - perhaps rephrase this.	Taylor	Language modified.
66	rephrase "in California's extreme climate" to "given California's varying climatic extremes."	Feldman	Language modified.
68	Finding 12. Should the language say "For example" or instead "i.e."	DWR-DSIWM	No change.
68	Promising Actions. Suggest some text to explain why this section does not include some of the possible action items from Section 2.3	DWR-DSIWM	Language added
69	State actions: Identify agency(ies) for each item.	DWR-DSIWM	Agencies added.
69	Suggest prioritizing the top 3 - 5 barriers.	DWR-DSIWM	Difficult to prioritize when elements are interrelated to one another.
69	Evaluate language choice: "Water services"	DWR-DSIWM	Alternative language was not identified. No change made.
69	3b. Which online tools?	DWR-DSIWM	Language Added.
11; 68-69	Add to the Local Action section, examples of cities doing real work that is achieving what your document alludes to, and successes that dealt with your barriers, cite where barriers are broken and projects built: City of Santa Monica has a Sustainable Water Master Plan, to get the city to water self-sufficiency in 2020-2022, getting off imported water, and living within the local water means. All cities should do this, and take the steps to make it happen. Only barrier here is the political will to do the right thing. We have developed Supply and Demand strategies to achieve this. Very important to also address demand, lowering it, eliminating wasteful water uses, like spray irrigation, turf use, besides supply strategies of rainwater. We have a number of major projects done, to be done, and almost ready to build to add major water supplies to our mix (if it rains), including wastewater mining. We have and continue to build storage tanks, some up to 1.5 million gallons, to collect stormwater for treatment and use. Barriers are barriers if you allow them to be; but we can break through them with drive and perseverance.	Shapiro	Language added.
Title	Storm water should be 1 word, stormwater, to be consistent with rest of document; table of contents and all other sections use 1 word format	Shapiro	Change made.